

# Point-Set Topology Select Solutions

**MyMathYourMath.com**  
Solutions by: Hossien Sahebame

Spring 2021

# Contents

1. Intersection
2. Compactness
  - (a) Closed subset of compact is compact
  - (b) Compact subset of Hausdorff is closed
  - (c) Continuity preserves compactness
3. Regular space Equivalence
4. Connectedness
  - (a) Non-disjoint union of connected is connected
  - (b) Continuity Preserves connectedness
  - (c) Product of connected under product topology is connected
5. Closed set equivalence
6. Metrizable and 2nd Countability.
  - (a) What is 2nd countable?
  - (b) What is Hausdorff?
  - (c) Metrizable imply Hausdorff or not?
  - (d) Metric topology imply 2nd countable?
7. Product topology
  - (a) Is it Hausdorff?
  - (b) Is it 2nd-countable?
8. Continuity when restricted
  - (a) Continuity from arbitrary union of opens
  - (b) Continuity from finite union of closed
  - (c) Continuity from arbitrary union of closed
9. More Product/Hausdorff
  - (a) Definition of Product Topology
  - (b) Projection map is open
  - (c) The closed graph
  - (d) The closed map
10. Homeomorphisms (incomplete)
  - (a)  $\mathbb{R} \simeq (0, 1)$

- (b) Completeness preserved under continuous function
  - (c) Not homeo.
11. Topology of a 4 point set
    - (a) Show any two disjoint closed sets have disjoint open neighborhoods
    - (b) Show  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $T_1$ .
    - (c) Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\} \subset X$  be endowed with the subspace topology.
  12. Connected and path connected closures.
    - (a) If  $X$  is connected, is  $\overline{X}$  connected as well?
    - (b) If  $X$  is path-connected, is  $\overline{X}$  path-connected as well?
  13. The Cofinite Topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ 
    - (a) Is the cofinite on  $\mathbb{R}$  Hausdorff?
    - (b) Is the cofinite on  $\mathbb{R}$  compact?
  14. Union of sequence of closed subsets of a top space
  15. The Filter problem
  16. The Connected Lemma
  17. Arbitrary union/intersection of collection of topologies
    - (a) Topology itself closed under arbitrary intersection.
    - (b) Topology itself not close under arbitrary unions
  18. Intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  are connected.
  19. Subspaces of separable metric spaces are separable
  20. Hausdorff Diagonal
  21. Equivalence of continuity
    - (a) Cont over Comp attain Max.
    - (b) Cont over Comp is Uniform
  22. Countable product of separable is separable.
  23. Closed intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  are compact.
  24. Examples, counterexamples

## 1. Nested closed subsets of compact

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Let  $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \dots$  be closed subsets of  $X$ . If  $X$  is compact, prove that  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume toward a contradiction that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \emptyset$$

Since for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we know  $E_n$  is closed in  $X$  and they are decreasing, we know the  $X \setminus E_n$  are increasing. Then we have that for each  $n$ ,

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X \setminus E_n.$$

By compactness of  $X$  we have the existence of a finite subset  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} X &\subseteq \bigcup_{n \in A} X \setminus E_n \\ &= X \setminus E_M, \end{aligned}$$

Where  $M \in A$  is the maximal element in terms of set containment. But this implies

$$E_n = \emptyset$$

For every  $n$  such that  $1 \leq n \leq M$ , contradicting non-emptiness. □

[<back:2top>](#)

## 2 Compactness

(a) Closed subspaces of compact topological spaces need be compact.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a compact topological space. Suppose  $Y \subseteq X$  is closed. We would like to show that  $Y$  is compact. Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an open cover of  $Y$ . That is,

$$Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha.$$

As  $Y \subseteq X$  is closed, its complement in  $X$ ,  $X \setminus Y$ , is open in  $X$ . Moreover, the union of the  $U_\alpha$  together with  $X \setminus Y$  forms an open cover for  $X$ , i.e.,

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \cup X \setminus Y.$$

Since  $X$  is compact, we know there exists a finite subset  $B \subseteq A$  such that

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in B} U_{\alpha_i} \cup X \setminus Y$$

And since  $Y \subseteq X$  we have that

$$Y \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in B} U_{\alpha_i}$$

thus we have found a finite subcover of  $\{U_\alpha\}$  that cover  $Y$  and so  $Y$  is compact as needed.  $\square$

[<back:2top>](#)

(b) Compact subspaces of Hausdorff topological spaces need be closed.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space. Suppose  $Y \subseteq X$  is compact. We wish to show  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ . It suffices to show its complement  $X \setminus Y$  is open in  $X$ . Let  $x \in X \setminus Y$ , as  $X$  is Hausdorff, for each  $y \in Y$  there exists open sets  $U_y \subseteq X \setminus Y$ ,  $V_y \subseteq Y$  with  $x \in U_y$ ,  $y \in V_y$  such that

$$U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset.$$

Since  $V_y \subseteq Y$  is open,  $\{V_y \mid y \in Y\}$  is an open cover for  $Y$ , that is,

$$Y \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in Y} V_y.$$

By compactness of  $Y$  there exists a finite subset  $A \subseteq Y$  such that

$$Y \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in A} V_y = V.$$

Then the finite intersection  $U = \bigcap_{y \in A} U_y$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  disjoint from  $V$ , namely

$$x \in U \subseteq X \setminus Y$$

and thus  $X \setminus Y$  is open in  $X$  forcing  $Y \subseteq X$  to be closed as needed.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

(c) The image of a compact topological space need be compact under a continuous map.

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. Suppose  $X$  is compact. We would like to show that  $f(X) \subseteq Y$  is compact. Let  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an arbitrary open cover for  $f(X)$ . That is,

$$f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V_\alpha$$

where  $V_\alpha \subseteq Y$  is open for each  $\alpha \in A$ . As  $f$  is continuous, we have that

$$f^{-1}(V_\alpha) \subseteq X$$

is open for each  $\alpha \in A$  as well. Then  $\{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is an open cover for  $X$  and since  $X$  is compact we have the existence of a finite subset  $B \subseteq A$  such that

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{b \in B} f^{-1}(V_b)$$

then it follows that

$$f(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{b \in B} V_b$$

thus  $f(X)$  is compact. □

[<back2top>](#)

### 3. Regular space equivalence

(a) Define a regular space.

*Proof.* A topological space  $X$  is called *regular* or  $T_3$  if  $\forall x \in X$  and any closed subset  $F \subset X$  not containing  $x$ ,  $\exists U, V \subset X$  open with  $x \in U, F \subset V \ni$

$$U \cap V = \emptyset.$$

Namely, we can separate points from closed sets using open sets. □

Assume  $X$  is Hausdorff. Then  $X$  is regular if and only if for every  $x \in X$  with neighborhood  $U \subseteq X$  there exists  $V \subseteq X$  open with  $x \in V$  such that  $\bar{V} \subset U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space. Suppose first that  $X$  is also regular. Let  $x \in X$  and let  $U_x \subseteq X$  be an open neighborhood of  $x$ . Then  $F = X \setminus U_x$  is closed by definition. Since  $X$  is a regular space we can separate  $x$  and  $F$  with open subsets of  $X$ , that is, there exists  $V, W \subseteq X$  open with  $x \in V, F \subset W$  such that

$$V \cap W = \emptyset.$$

As  $x \in U_x$  we have that

$$V \cap F = \emptyset$$

forcing  $\bar{V} \subseteq U_x$  as needed.

Next suppose for each  $x \in X$  and open neighborhood of  $x$  say  $U_x \subseteq X$  there exists an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq X$  with  $x \in V$  such that  $\bar{V} \subseteq U_x$ . Let  $x \in X \setminus F$  where  $F \subseteq X$  is closed. We wish to separate these via open subsets of  $X$ . As  $F$  is closed it follows that  $X \setminus F \subseteq X$  is open. Then by our assumption we are guaranteed the existence of an open set  $V \subseteq X$  such that

$$\bar{V} \subseteq X \setminus F.$$

Here  $x \in V$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  and similarly  $X \setminus \bar{V}$  is an open set containing  $F$  such that

$$V \cap X \setminus \bar{V} = \emptyset$$

thus  $X$  is regular as needed. □

[<back2top>](#)

## 4. Non-disjoint union of connected is connected

(a) Let  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a collection of topological spaces. If for each  $\alpha \in I$   $X_\alpha$  is connected and

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha \neq \emptyset,$$

then we have that

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$$

is connected as well.

*Note that this fails if we swap the conclusions union with intersection, take  $X_1 := S^1, X_2 := \{(x, y) : x = y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , so the circle and the line, their union is connected, their intersection is two disjoint points however.*

*Proof.* First, Recall the Connected lemma:

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. If  $A \cup B$  is a separation of the space and  $Y \subset X$  is a connected subspace, then  $Y$  lies entirely in  $A$  or  $B$ .

The proof of this is in Exercise 16. Now assume towards a contradiction that  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$  is disconnected. That is, there is a separation. I.e.,

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha = A \cup B$$

Where  $A, B \in \tau$  are non-empty and disjoint. Since the intersection of the  $X_\alpha$  is non-empty, let  $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ , then  $x \in A$  or in  $B$ , let us say  $x \in A$ . But then  $B$  is non-empty thus there exists some  $y \in B$ . But then  $y \in X_\beta$  for some  $\beta \in I$  and also  $x \in X_\beta$  contradicting the connected lemma as  $X_\beta$  is connected it must lie entirely in  $A$  or  $B$  and so  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$  is connected.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

(b) The image of a connected space under continuous function need be connected.

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map of topological space. Suppose that  $X$  is connected. We wish to show that  $f(X)$  is connected as well. Let us suppose towards a contradiction that  $f(X)$  has a separation, that is,

$$f(X) = A \cup B$$

where  $A, B \subsetneq f(X)$  are both nonempty and open. Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B) \subset X$  are both open. Moreover, their union is all of  $X$  and thus we have formed a separation of  $X$  which is connected, a contradiction and so  $f(X)$  is connected.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

(c) Let  $X, Y$  be connected. Show  $X \times Y$  is connected in the product topology.



*Proof.* Suppose  $X, Y$  are connected topological spaces. We wish to show that with respect to the product topology,  $X \times Y$  is connected as well. Fix  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ . As  $X$  is connected and homeomorphic to the slice  $X \times \{y_0\}$ , it follows that  $X \times \{y_0\}$  is connected. Similarly, for each  $x \in X$  we have that the slice  $\{x\} \times Y$  is connected as well. We can now define

$$T_x = (X \times \{y_0\}) \cup (\{x\} \times Y)$$

Then  $\bigcup_{x \in X} T_x$  is connected by part (a) as the intersection consists of  $(x, y_0)$ . As  $\bigcup_{x \in X} T_x$  is all of  $X \times Y$ , we are done.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 5. Equivalence for closed in metric space

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space with  $C \subset X$  and some  $p \in X$  a point. Prove  $C$  is closed if and only if  $C \cap \overline{B_R(p)}$  is closed for any  $R > 0$  where

$$\overline{B_R(p)} = \{x \in X \mid d(x, p) \leq R\}.$$

*Proof.* First suppose  $C$  is closed. Since arbitrary intersections of closed spaces need be closed we have that the intersection

$$C \cap \overline{B_R(p)}$$

is closed as  $\overline{B_R(p)}$  is closed by definition.

On the other hand suppose that for some  $p \in X$  and any  $R > 0$  the intersection

$$C \cap \overline{B_R(p)}$$

is closed. We wish to show that  $C$  is closed, i.e.,  $C = \overline{C}$ . Clearly we have that  $C \subseteq \overline{C}$  thus we are left to show that  $\overline{C} \subseteq C$ . So let  $x \in \overline{C}$  be a limit point. We must show  $x \in C$ . As  $X$  is a metric space we can put  $x$  in an epsilon ball, that is,

$$x \in B_\varepsilon(x) := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}.$$

As  $C \cap \overline{B_R(p)}$  is closed for any  $R$ , we can take  $R = d(x, p) + \varepsilon$ . Then we have that

$$B_\varepsilon(x) \subset B_R(p) \subset \overline{B_R(p)}.$$

As  $x$  is a limit point of  $C$ ,  $B_\varepsilon(x) \setminus \{x\}$  intersects  $C$  non-trivially thus  $x$  is a limit point of  $C \cap \overline{B_R(p)}$  hence  $x \in C \cap \overline{B_R(p)}$  forcing  $x \in C$  as needed and  $C$  is closed.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 6. Hausdorff & second-countable

(a) Define *second-countable*

*Proof.* A topological space  $X$  is said to be *second-countable* if it has a countable basis.  $\square$

(b) Define Hausdorff.

*Proof.* A topological space  $X$  is said to be Hausdorff if for any pair of distinct elements  $x, y \in X$  we can find open subsets of  $X$  say  $U, V$  with  $x \in U, y \in V$  such that

$$U \cap V = \emptyset.$$

$\square$

(c) Prove or disprove: Every metric space equipped with the metric topology is Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Then  $d$  induces a topology on  $X$ , namely the collection of epsilon balls, that is,

$$\{B_\varepsilon(x) \mid x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}.$$

This collection forms a basis for a topology on  $X$ . I claim  $X$  is Hausdorff. Let  $x, y \in X$  be distinct. Then we have that  $d(x, y) > 0$  so denote this distance by  $\varepsilon_0$ . Then we have basis elements  $x \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}}(x), y \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}}(y)$ . It suffices to show

$$B_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}}(x) \cap B_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}}(y) = \emptyset$$

Suppose there exists some  $z \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}}(x) \cup B_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}}(y)$  then  $d(x, z), d(z, y) < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$ . And so by the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 &= d(x, y) \\ &\leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction forcing the intersection to be empty as needed thus  $(X, d)$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

(d) Prove or disprove: Every metric space equipped with the metric topology is *second-countable*.

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathbb{R}$  as a metric space equipped with discrete metric, that is

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq y \\ 0 & x = y \end{cases}$$

Here the basis elements consist of singleton sets.  $\mathbb{R}$  has an uncountable number of points, the basis (the singletons) is uncountable thus not second-countable.  $\square$

[<back:2top>](#)

## 7. The product topology

Consider the Product space  $Y = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} [0, 1]$  with the product topology.

(a) Prove  $Y$  is Hausdorff.

*Proof.* As  $[0, 1]$  is Hausdorff (It is a subspace of the reals which are Hausdorff and it is easy to check subspaces of Hausdorff under subspace topology need be Hausdorff as well) we verify that given a collection  $\{(X_i, \tau_i)\}_{i \in I}$  of topological spaces, if they are all assumed to be Hausdorff, then their product

$$(\prod_{i \in I} X_i, \tau_X)$$

(for short we denote  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$  by  $X$ ) is Hausdorff when  $\tau_X$  is the product topology. Before proceeding, small lemma:

Lemma If  $A, B, C$  are sets such that  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $A \times B \cap A \times C = \emptyset$  as well and this extends to uncountably infinite case as well.

Now let  $x, y \in X$  be distinct. Then there exists (at least one) some  $j \in I$  our indexing set such that the components do not agree here, that is, for  $x_j, y_j \in X_j$  we have

$$x_j \neq y_j.$$

As  $X_j$  is Hausdorff, then there exists  $U_j, V_j \in \tau_j$  with  $x_j \in U_j, y_j \in V_j$  such that

$$U_j \cap V_j = \emptyset.$$

Then by the definition of the product topology, for each  $i \in I \setminus \{j\}$  we can take

$$U_i = V_i = X_i$$

And then define the neighborhoods of  $x, y$  as

$$U = \prod_{i \in I} U_i, V = \prod_{i \in I} V_i.$$

and since the  $U_i, V_i$  are disjoint at  $j$  together with our lemma we have that

$$U \cap V = \emptyset$$

with  $x \in U, y \in V$  thus  $(X, \tau_X)$  is Hausdorff as needed. □

(b) Prove  $Y = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [0, 1]_n$  is separable.

*Proof.* To show  $Y$  is separable, we construct a countable subset that is dense in  $Y$ . Consider the following subset of  $Y$ ,

$$A := \{(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in Y \mid \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ni a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1], 1 \leq i < N\}$$

I.e., all sequences with finitely many rational coordinates. I claim  $A$  is dense in  $Y$ , to see this we show every open set of  $Y$  intersects  $A$  nontrivially. Let  $x \in U \in \tau_Y$ . If  $x \in A$  then we are done as

$x \in U \cap A$ . Suppose  $x \in Y \setminus A$ . As we are in the product topology, our basis elements are of the form

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \subset U$$

Where  $U_n = [0, 1]$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . And for those finitely many  $n$  we have the proper containment  $U_n \subset [0, 1]$ . If we let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , then for finitely many values, call them  $i$ , we have

$$x_i \in U_i \subset [0, 1]$$

As  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have for these finite many  $i$ , there exists some rational  $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$q_i \in U_i$$

Then consider the point  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  such that

$$y_i = q_i; i \leq n, y_n = x_n; \forall n > i$$

Then  $y \in A$  and since  $U$  was arbitrary we have that  $A$  is dense in  $Y$ . □

[<back2top>](#)

## 8. Continuity When restricted

(a) Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a topological space. If we can write  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n$  where for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$f|_{W_n}: W_n \rightarrow Y$$

is continuous, then

$$f: X \rightarrow Y$$

is continuous.

*Proof.* Let

$$f: X \rightarrow Y$$

be a map of topological spaces with topologies  $\tau_X, \tau_Y$  respectively. Let  $V \in \tau_Y$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f|_{W_k}^{-1}(V) &= f^{-1}(V) \cap W_k \\ &\in \tau_X. \end{aligned}$$

As  $f|_{W_n}$  is continuous for every  $n$ . But then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(V) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-1}(V) \cap W_k \\ &\in \tau_X \end{aligned}$$

as any union of open need be open thus  $f$  is continuous.  $\square$

(b) Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be a topological space. If  $X = A \cup B$  where  $A, B$  are closed and  $f|_A: A \rightarrow Y, f|_B: B \rightarrow Y$  are continuous. Prove

$$f: X \rightarrow Y$$

is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $V \subset Y$  be closed, then just as before, since  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , then for all subsets of  $Y$  which  $V$  is, we have

$$f^{-1}(V) \cap A = (f|_A)^{-1}(V)$$

Holds for when  $x \in A$  and  $f(x) \in V$ . As  $V \subset Y$  is closed and the restrictions are continuous, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &(f|_A)^{-1}(V) \cap A \\ &(f|_B)^{-1}(V) \cap B \end{aligned}$$

Are both closed in  $A, B$  respectively thus both are closed in  $X$  as well and we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(V) &= f^{-1}(V) \cap X \\ &= f^{-1}(V) \cap (A \cup B) \\ &= (f^{-1}(V) \cap A) \cup (f^{-1}(V) \cap B) \end{aligned}$$

Which is a finite union of closed thus  $f^{-1}(V) \subset X$  is closed as needed thus  $f$  is continuous.  $\square$

(c) Assume  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  where the  $E_k$  are all closed in  $X$  such that each  $E_k \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, is  $X \rightarrow Y$  also continuous?

*Proof.* False. Consider the map

$$f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is endowed with cofinite topology and  $\mathbb{R}$  has the standard topology. Let

$$\mathbb{Z} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \{n\}$$

So our  $E_k$  are just singletons of integers, then  $f|_{E_k}$  is continuous for each  $k$ .

To see this, take  $C \subset \mathbb{R}$  closed, then we have

$$f|_{E_k}^{-1}(C) = f^{-1}(C) \cap E_k$$

which is either just a singleton or the empty set both of which are closed in  $\mathbb{Z}$  with cofinite topology.

On the other hand,  $f$  is not continuous as any open set  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  has a pull back with infinite complement thus not open in  $\mathbb{Z}$  with cofinite topology.  $\square$

[<back:2top>](#)

## 9. Product Topology

(a) Define the product topology on the product  $X = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$ .

*Proof.* The product topology on  $X$  is the product

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j$$

Where  $U_j \subseteq X_j$  are open and

$$U_j = X_j$$

$\forall$  but finitely many  $j$ , for finite  $j$ ,

$$U_j \subsetneq X_j$$

proper subset. More formally, if  $\pi_{\beta}$  is projection onto the  $\beta$ th coordinate, then

$$\mathcal{S}_{\beta} = \{\pi_{\beta}^{-1}(U_{\beta}) \mid U_{\beta} \text{ is open in } X_{\beta}\}$$

Then the topology generated by  $\bigcup_{\beta \in J} \mathcal{S}_{\beta}$  is the product topology.  $\square$

(b) Show the projection map  $p_i : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i$  is an open map for  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* For each  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\tau_i$  denote the topology on  $X_i$ . Let  $U \in \tau_{\alpha}$ , then by the definition of the product topology we can write

$$U = \bigcup_{j \in J} \bigcap_{k=1}^{n_j} p_{i_{k,j}}^{-1} U_{k,j},$$

where  $J$  is an arbitrary indexing set,  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i_{k,j} = 1, 2$ . Then for every  $i = 1, 2$ , define  $V_{i,k,j} \in \tau_i$  via

$$V_{i,k,j} = \begin{cases} U_{k,j} & ; i = i_{k,j} \\ X_i & ; i \neq i_{k,j} \end{cases}$$

By the definition of projection we have

$$p_{i_{k,j}}^{-1}(U_{k,j}) = V_{1,k,j} \times V_{2,k,j}.$$

And without any loss of generality we can suppose  $i = 1$  and compute

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(U) &= \bigcup_{j \in J} p_1\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_j} p_{i_{k,j}}^{-1}(U_{k,j})\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{j \in J} p_1\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_j} (V_{1,k,j} \times V_{2,k,j})\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{j \in J} p_1\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n_j} V_{1,k,j} \times \bigcap_{k=1}^{n_j} V_{2,k,j}\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{j \in J} \bigcap_{k=1}^{n_j} V_{1,k,j} \\ &\in \tau_1 \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $p_1$  is an open map. The same proof works for  $p_2$ .  $\square$



(c) If  $Y$  is Hausdorff and

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

is continuous, prove the graph

$$\Delta = \{(x, f(x)) | x \in X\}$$

is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

*Proof.* We show  $\Delta^c$  is open instead.

Let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y \setminus \Delta$ , then  $y \neq f(x)$ .

As  $y, f(x) \in Y$  which is Hausdorff they can be separated via open sets of  $Y$ .

That is,  $\exists U, V \subset Y$  open with  $y \in U, f(x) \in V$  s.t.

$$U \cap V = \emptyset$$

By Munkres Theorem 18.1(4) since  $f$  is continuous,  $\exists W \subseteq X$  with  $x \in W$  s.t.

$$f(W) \subseteq V$$

Then  $W \times U$  is an open neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  disjoint from  $\Delta$  thus  $X \times Y \setminus \Delta = \Delta^c$  is open, and therefore  $\Delta$  is closed.  $\square$

(d) If  $Y$  is Hausdorff and

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

is continuous, prove

$$G : X \rightarrow X \times Y$$

defined via

$$G(x) = (x, f(x))$$

is a closed map.

*Proof.* Let  $C \subseteq X$  be closed, we wish to show

$$G(C) \subset X \times Y$$

is closed. Let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y \setminus G(C)$ , then  $y \neq f(x)$  which are both in  $Y$ .

Since  $Y$  is Hausdorff,  $\exists U, V \subseteq Y$  both open with  $y \in U, f(x) \in V$  such that

$$U \cap V = \emptyset$$

As  $f$  is continuous however,  $\exists W \subseteq X$  an open neighborhood of  $x$  such that

$$f(W) \subseteq V$$

Then  $W \times U$  is an open neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  disjoint from  $G(C)$ , thus  $G(C)^c$  is open forcing  $G(C)$  to be closed  $\therefore G$  is a closed map.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 10. Homeomorphisms

(a) Prove  $(0,1)$  with the subspace topology is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  with the standard topology.

*Proof.* Let

$$f : (0,1) \rightarrow \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

be defined via

$$f(x) := \pi x - \frac{\pi}{2}$$

and let

$$g : \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be defined via

$$g(x) := \tan x$$

Then

$$h : (0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined via

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &:= g(f(x)) \\ &= \tan\left(\pi x - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

is the desired homeomorphism with inverse defined via

$$h^{-1}(x) := \frac{\tan^{-1}(x)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}$$

By calculus we are done. □

(b) Assume  $X, Y$  are metric spaces that are homeomorphic. Prove or give counterexample:  $X$  complete implies  $Y$  complete, that is, completeness preserved under cont?

*Proof.* Part (a) □

(c) Prove  $[a, b] \not\cong (c, d)$ .

*Proof.* First a small lemma (proof left to the interested reader; HINT: First restrict the domain, then restrict the range.)

Lemma: If

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

is a continuous map of topological spaces, then for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$\bar{f} : X \setminus \{x\} \rightarrow Y \setminus \{f(x)\}$$

is continuous as well. Moreover, if  $f$  is a homeomorphism, then  $\bar{f}$  is a homeomorphism as well.

Now let us assume  $[a, b] \cong (c, d)$ . Then there exists a homeomorphism

$$g : [a, b] \rightarrow (c, d).$$

By our lemma above

$$\bar{g} : [a, b] \setminus \{a\} \rightarrow (c, d) \setminus \{g(a)\}$$

is a homeomorphism as well. I.e.,

$$g : (a, b) \rightarrow (c, g(a)) \cup (g(a), d)$$

is a homeomorphism. Note that the domain is still connected while the range space is clearly disconnected and since connectedness is a topological property, this contradicts continuity of  $\bar{g}$  and thus  $[a, b] \not\cong (c, d)$  as needed. One can check that the range space in fact has a separation.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 11. Topology of finite point-set

Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be given by the topology  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$

(a) Show any two disjoint closed sets have disjoint open neighborhoods

*Proof.* By definition, the closed sets in  $X$  are taken to be the complements of the given open sets, that is,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{X, \emptyset, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{4\}\}$$

are all of the closed subspaces of  $X$ . As 4 is an element of every member of  $\mathcal{C}$  except  $\emptyset$  and  $\emptyset$  is disjoint with every non-empty set, the pairs of disjoint closed subspaces are

$$X, \emptyset$$

$$\{2, 3, 4\}, \emptyset$$

$$\{3, 4\}, \emptyset$$

$$\{2, 4\}, \emptyset$$

$$\{4\}, \emptyset$$

where the neighborhood  $X$  contains each closed set except for  $\emptyset$  and clearly  $\emptyset$  is its own neighborhood which is disjoint from  $X$  as needed.  $\square$

(b) Show  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $T_1$

*Proof.* Consider the elements 1, 2. The open neighborhoods of 2 are

$$\{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

Since both of these contain 1 we cannot find open sets for 1 and 2 that do not contain each other thus  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $T_1$ .  $\square$

(c) Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\} \subset X$  be endowed with the subspace topology. Find disjoint closed subsets of  $A$  that do not have disjoint neighborhoods.

*Proof.* As  $A$  is endowed with the subspace topology we can write out the topology on  $A$  as follows

$$\tau_A = \{\emptyset, A, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}.$$

Then the closed subsets of  $A$  are given by

$$\mathcal{C}_A = \{A, \emptyset, \{2, 3\}, \{3\}, \{2\}\}.$$

Then  $\{2\}, \{3\}$  are disjoint closed sets in  $A$ . The neighborhoods of  $\{2\}$  are

$$A, \{1, 2\},$$

and the neighborhoods of  $\{3\}$  are

$$A, \{1, 3\}.$$

And since  $\{1, 2\} \cap \{1, 3\} = \{1\}$  is non-empty, we have found disjoint closed subsets of  $A$  with non disjoint neighborhoods.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 12. Closures connected/path

(a) If  $X \subseteq Z$  is a connected subset of a topological space, show that  $\overline{X} \subseteq Z$  is connected as well.

*Proof.* Let  $X \subseteq Z$  be a connected subspace of a topological space. Suppose towards a contradiction that  $\overline{X}$  is not connected. Then there exists a separation of  $\overline{X}$ , that is,

$$\overline{X} = A \cup B,$$

$A, B \in \tau_{\overline{X}}$  non-empty and disjoint. As  $X$  is connected, by the connected Lemma we have WLOG that  $X = A \cap X$ . As  $B$  is non-empty, it contains some  $b$ , namely  $b$  is a limit point of  $X$  and thus  $B$  is an open set containing a limit point of  $X$  thus it must intersect  $X \subset A$  non-trivially contradicting

$$A \cap B = \emptyset.$$

Thus  $\overline{X}$  is connected. □

(b) Show (a) fails for path-connected subspaces.

*Proof.* Take the topologist's sin curve. That is, the function

$$f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [-1, 1]$$

which is defined via

$$x \mapsto \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Then  $\{(x, y) \mid y = \sin(\frac{1}{x})\}$  is path-connected, however the closure given via

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y = \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\} \cup \{0\} \times [-1, 1]$$

is not path-connected. □

[<back2top>](#)

### 13. Cofinite Topology

Let  $\tau$  be the finite complement topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ . That is,  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is open if and only if  $U$  is empty or  $\mathbb{R} \setminus U$  is finite.

(a) Is  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  Hausdorff?

*Proof.* I claim  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is not Hausdorff. Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $U \in \tau$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . Then  $\mathbb{R} \setminus U$  is finite so we can write

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus U = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}.$$

Similarly we can let  $V \in \tau$  be a neighborhood of  $y$  and write

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus V = \{q_1, \dots, q_m\}.$$

We would like for  $U, V$  to have a non-empty intersection. As  $\mathbb{R}$  is infinite, we can find a  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z \neq p_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $z \neq q_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . This would imply  $z \in U \cap V$  and so  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is not Hausdorff.  $\square$

(b) Is  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  compact?

*Proof.* I claim  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is compact. Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an arbitrary open cover for  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is

$$\mathbb{R} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha.$$

For each  $\alpha \in A$  we know  $\mathbb{R} \setminus U_\alpha$  is finite, in particular we can take some  $\beta \in A$  and so  $\mathbb{R} \setminus U_\beta$  is finite. Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U_\beta$  let  $U_x \in \tau$  be the neighborhood containing  $x$ . Then it follows that

$$\mathbb{R} \subseteq U_\beta \cup \{U_x \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U_\beta\}$$

is a finite sub-cover of our arbitrary covering thus  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$  is Hausdorff as needed.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 14. Sequence of closed

Let  $F_1, F_2, \dots$  be a sequence of closed subsets of a topological space  $X$ . Suppose that for each  $x \in X$  we can find a neighborhood of  $x$  say  $N_x$  such that  $N_x \cap F_j \neq \emptyset$  for only finitely many  $j$  values. Prove  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$  is closed.

*Proof.* We will show the complement with respect to the entire space is open. That is, we show

$$X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$$

Let  $x_0 \in X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$  be arbitrary. We must find a neighborhood of  $x$  that is disjoint from  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ . As  $x_0 \in X$  we are guaranteed the existence of neighborhood  $N_{x_0}$  such that

$$N_{x_0} \cap F_j \neq \emptyset$$

for finitely many  $j$  values. That is, there exists a finite set  $J$  such that

$$N_{x_0} \bigcap_{j \in J} F_j \neq \emptyset.$$

Then I claim the open neighborhood of  $x_0$  that is disjoint from  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$  is given by the intersection

$$N_{x_0} \bigcap_{j \in J} X \setminus F_j.$$

Clearly we have that  $x_0 \in N_{x_0} \bigcap_{j \in J} X \setminus F_j$  and is clearly disjoint from  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ . Moreover, as  $\tau_X$  is closed under finite intersecions we have that  $N_{x_0} \bigcap_{j \in J} X \setminus F_j \in \tau_X$  as needed for our neighborhood of  $x_0$  and so  $X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$  is open thus  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$  is closed.  $\square$

[<back:2top>](#)

## 15 (Incomplete)

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of closed sets. A *filter* on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets from  $\mathcal{C}$  such that (1)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ , (2) If  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $C_1 \cap C_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , (3) If  $C_1 \subset C_2$  with  $C_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $C_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Show that if each filter on  $\mathcal{C}$  has non-empty intersection, then  $(X, \tau)$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an arbitrary open cover for  $X$ . That is,

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha,$$

where  $U_\alpha \in \tau$  for each  $\alpha$ . Then by definition we have for each  $\alpha \in A$  that  $X \setminus U_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{B \in \tau \mid B \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} U_{\alpha_i}\}$  for some finite  $I \subset A$ . Then  $X \setminus B \in \mathcal{C}$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . I claim that

$$\mathcal{F}_\beta = \{X \setminus B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

defines a filter on  $\mathcal{C}$ . For (1), let us suppose  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$ , then  $\emptyset = X \setminus B$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  which implies  $B = X$  and since  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we have that  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} U_{\alpha_i}$ .  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)



## 16. Connected Lemma

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $Y \subset X$  a connected subspace endowed with the subspace topology. If  $A \cup B$  forms a separation of  $X$ , then  $Y \subset A$  or  $Y \subset B$ .

*Proof.* As  $A \cup B$  forms a separation, we have that

$$X = A \cup B$$

where  $A, B \in \tau$  are both non-empty and disjoint as a pair. Let us suppose towards a contradiction that there exists  $a, b \in Y$  such that  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . I claim then that

$$\begin{aligned} Y \cap X &= Y \cap (A \cup B) \\ &= (Y \cap A) \cup (Y \cap B) \end{aligned}$$

forms a separation of  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is endowed with the subspace topology and  $A, B \in \tau$  we have that  $Y \cap A, Y \cap B \in \tau_Y$ . That is, they are both open in  $Y$ . If they were not disjoint then there would exist some  $\alpha$  such that

$$\alpha \in (Y \cap A) \cap (Y \cap B)$$

contradicting  $A, B$  being disjoint as a pair and thus  $Y \cap A, Y \cap B$  are disjoint as well. Lastly we know by existence of  $a, b$  that they are non-empty thus together they form a separation of  $Y$  which is connected a contradiction thus  $Y$  must lie entirely within  $A$  or  $B$ .  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 17. Arbitrary collection of topologies on a set

(a) Let  $X$  be set and  $\{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a collection of topologies on  $X$ . Prove  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha$  is a topology on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for each  $\alpha \in A$  we have that  $(X, \tau_\alpha)$  is a topological space, we must show  $(X, \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha)$  is also a topological space. First we check  $\emptyset, X \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha$ . Since for each  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\tau_\alpha$  is a topology on  $X$ , we have for every  $\alpha \in A$  that  $\emptyset, X \in \tau_\alpha$  and thus

$$\emptyset, X \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha$$

as needed. Next suppose that

$$U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha.$$

Then for every  $\alpha \in A$  we have

$$U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n \in \tau_\alpha.$$

As for each  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\tau_\alpha$  is a topology on  $X$ , by the closure property we get

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha.$$

Lastly we must check arbitrary unions are closed. That is if for every  $\beta \in B$  some indexing set let us suppose

$$U_\beta \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha.$$

Then for every  $\alpha \in A$

$$U_\beta \in \tau_\alpha$$

which are each a topology as noted before thus by closure property of arbitrary unions we get (for every  $\alpha \in A$ , that is.)

$$\bigcup_{\beta \in B} U_\beta \in \tau_\alpha,$$

which gives us

$$\bigcup_{\beta \in B} U_\beta \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha,$$

as needed making  $(X, \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha)$  a topological space.  $\square$

(b) Given an example to show  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \tau_\alpha$  is not necessarily a topology given  $\tau_\alpha$  is a topology for each  $\alpha \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let our set  $X$  be given as the following three point set

$$X = \{a, b, c\}.$$

Consider the following two topologies on  $X$ ,

$$\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}, \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}.$$

Then their union is given by

$$\tau_1 \cup \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$$

Which is not closed under even finite unions as

$$\{a\} \cup \{b\} \notin \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$$

Thus unions of topologies need not be a topology. □

[<back2top>](#)

## 18. Intervals in $\mathbb{R}$ are connected

Prove intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  are connected.

*Proof.* Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. To show  $I$  is connected, we assume towards a contradiction that  $I$  is disconnected. That is, there is a separation of the interval

$$I = A \cup B$$

Where  $A, B \in \tau_I$  (the topology on  $I$  when given the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{standard}}$ ), non-empty and disjoint. Thus we are guaranteed existence of  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  such that

$$a \notin B, b \notin A.$$

let  $I_0 = [a, b]$ . Note that  $I_0 \subseteq I$ . Then we can define

$$A_0 = A \cap I_0, B_0 = B \cap I_0.$$

Then  $A_0 \cup B_0$  forms a separation of  $I_0$ . To see this we already know they are non-empty by the existence of  $a, b$ . If they were not disjoint then there exists some  $\alpha \in A \cap I_0 \cap B$  contradicting  $A, B$  being disjoint as they form a separation of  $I$ . Lastly since  $A, B \in \tau_I$  we have that (in the subspace topology)  $A \cap I_0, B \cap I_0 \in \tau_{I_0}$ . Are both open. Note that  $A_0 \subset \mathbb{R}$  is non-empty thus it inherits the least upper bound property so we can define

$$c := \sup(A_0).$$

However  $A_0$  is closed because  $B_0$  is open thus  $c \in \overline{A_0}$ . and so  $c \notin B_0$ . As  $c$  is the supremum of  $A_0$ , for any  $x \in I_0$  with  $c < x$  we have that  $x \notin A_0$  thus we get

$$(x, b] \subset B_0.$$

But then  $c$  (Keep in mind that  $c \in I_0$ ) becomes a limit point of  $B_0$  forcing  $c \in \overline{B_0}$  and since  $A_0 \cup B_0$  form a separation of  $I_0$ ,  $c \notin A_0$  contradicting

$$c \in I_0 = A_0 \cup B_0.$$

thus  $I$  is connected as there is no separation. □

[<back2top>](#)

## 19. Subspace of separable is separable

If  $X$  is a separable metric space, then so is any subspace  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Assume that  $X$  is separable, then we show for any subspace  $Y \subseteq X$  that  $Y$  is separable. If  $Y = X$  or  $\emptyset$  we are done so we suppose  $\emptyset \neq Y \subsetneq X$ . We must construct a countable dense subset for  $Y$ . Let  $A \subset X$  be a countable dense subset. Then we can write

$$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$$

such that

$$\overline{A} = X.$$

That is, for every  $x \in X$  and  $U_x \in \tau$  containing  $x$  we have

$$U_x \setminus \{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

If we take  $y \in Y$ , then for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have that

$$B_\varepsilon(y) \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

As the intersection is non-empty let  $x_k \in B_\varepsilon(y) \cap A$ . I.e.,

$$x_k \in \{B_\varepsilon(y) \cap A : \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+\}.$$

Thus

$$B_\varepsilon(x_k) \cap Y \neq \emptyset.$$

Then we can take

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(k, \varepsilon) : B_\varepsilon(x_k) \cap Y \neq \emptyset\},$$

which is non-empty. So for each  $(k, \varepsilon)$  take  $y_{k,\varepsilon} \in B_\varepsilon(x_k) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  and let

$$Z = \{y_{k,\varepsilon} : (k, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

And so we have that  $Z \subset Y$  is countable since the elements are pulled from elements who are in  $A$  which is countable. We must show  $Z$  is dense in  $Y$ . That is, we must show

$$\overline{Z} = Y.$$

Let  $y \in Y$  and  $r > 0$  and choose  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\varepsilon \leq \frac{r}{2}.$$

Then we can always find a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$x_k \in B_\varepsilon(y).$$

Then  $(k, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{B}$  and by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, y_{k,\varepsilon}) &\leq d(y, x_k) + d(x_k, y_{k,\varepsilon}) \\ &< \varepsilon + \varepsilon \\ &= 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $y_{k,\varepsilon} \in B_r(y)$  and thus  $y \in \overline{Z}$  making  $Z$  dense in  $Y$  so  $Y$  is separable. □

[<back2top>](#)

## 20. Hausdorff Diagonal

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. Then  $X$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $X \times X$ .

*Proof.* First let us assume that  $\Delta$  is closed in  $X \times X$ . We wish to show  $X$  is Hausdorff so let  $x, y \in X$  be arbitrary. As  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  is closed, by definition we know that  $\Delta^c \in \tau$ . Then there exists a basis element of the form

$$U \times V \quad ; U, V \in \tau,$$

such that

$$(x, y) \in U \times V \subset \Delta^c.$$

And so we have that

$$(U \times V) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$$

which gives us  $x \in U, y \in V$ . Lastly, I claim that

$$U \cap V = \emptyset.$$

If not, then there exists some  $z \in U \cap V$  forcing

$$(z, z) \in U \times V.$$

Moreover,  $(z, z) \in \Delta$  by definition, contradicting disjointness of  $U \times V$  and  $\Delta$  and so  $X$  is Hausdorff. On the other hand, let us assume that  $X$  is Hausdorff and we wish to show that  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  is closed. We show this by showing  $\Delta^c$  is open. Let  $x, y \in \Delta^c$ . As  $X$  is Hausdorff we are guaranteed the existence of  $U_x, U_y \in \tau$  such that

$$U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset.$$

I claim that

$$(U_x \times U_y) \cap \Delta.$$

Let  $(a, b) \in (U_x \times U_y) \cap \Delta$  then  $a = b \in U_x \cap U_y$  a contradiction and thus  $\Delta^c \in \tau$  and so  $\Delta \subset X \times X$  is closed.  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 21. Equivalence of continuity in metric space

A function  $f$  is continuous using open sets if and only if it is continuous in the  $\varepsilon - \delta$  sense. Let  $\tau_X, \tau_Y$  denote topologies in  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces and

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

a map between them. First we will assume  $f$  is open set continuous. That is, if  $V \in \tau_Y$  then  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_X$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then  $f(x_0) \in Y$  and we have that

$$(f(x_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0) + \varepsilon) \in \tau_Y.$$

Then since  $f$  is continuous we get

$$x_0 \in f^{-1}((f(x_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0) + \varepsilon)) \in \tau_X.$$

So we can find a basis element containing  $x_0$  fully contained in  $f^{-1}((f(x_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0) + \varepsilon))$ . I.e., there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \subset f^{-1}((f(x_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0) + \varepsilon)).$$

But then we have

$$f((x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)) \subset (f(x_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0) + \varepsilon).$$

Thus for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can always find a  $\delta > 0$  such that if

$$|x - x_0| < \delta$$

then

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$$

making  $f$  continuous in the  $\varepsilon - \delta$  senses.

On the other hand suppose that  $f$  is continuous in the  $\varepsilon - \delta$  sense and let

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

be our map. Let  $V \in \tau_Y$ . We wish to show  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_X$ . Let  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(V)$ , then  $f(x_0) \in V \in \tau_Y$  and so there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$f(x_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0) + \varepsilon \subset V.$$

And since  $f$  is continuous in  $\varepsilon - \delta$  sense are guaranteed the existence of some  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \subset f^{-1}(V).$$

This  $\delta$  ball is the neighborhood of  $x_0$  properly contained in  $f^{-1}(V)$  thus  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_X$  as needed.  $\square$

[<back:2top>](#)

## 22. Continuous function over compact space

(a) Show continuous over compact attain a max.

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Suppose that  $X$  is compact. Since compactness is a topological property,  $f(X)$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ . By Heine-Borel, subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  under the standard topology are compact if and only if they are both closed and bounded. Thus  $f(X) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed and bounded. Since  $f(X)$  is bounded there exists some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $x \in X$  we have that

$$|f(x)| \leq M.$$

This tells us the supremum not only exists but is finite thus we can define

$$a := \sup_{x \in X} f(x)$$

Making  $a$  a limit point of  $f(X)$  which is closed in  $Y$  forcing  $a \in f(X)$  then by definition we have that

$$f(x) \leq a$$

for every  $f(x) \in f(X)$ . Hence  $f(X)$  attains its max. □

(b) Show continuous over compact is uniform.

*Proof.* Let

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

be a map between metric spaces. If  $f$  is continuous and  $X$  is compact, prove that  $f$  is uniformly continuous. I.e.,  $\delta$  is not dependant on each point. Since  $f$  is continuous, for each  $x \in X$  and any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta_x$  such that if

$$d_X(x, y) < \delta_x,$$

then

$$d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon.$$

In other words

$$f(B_{\delta_x}(x)) \subset B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(f(x)). \quad (*)$$

We now have that  $\{B_{\frac{\delta_x}{2}}(x)\}_{x \in X}$  is an open cover for  $X$ . As  $X$  is compact, we can find a finite subset  $A \subset X$  such that

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} B_{\frac{\delta_x}{2}}(x).$$

Then we can take our  $\delta$  to be

$$\delta = \min_{x \in A} \left( \frac{\delta_x}{2} \right)$$

Then we have that  $d_X(x, y) < \delta$ , then since  $x \in B_{\frac{\delta_x}{2}}(x)$  we have that  $y \in B_{\delta_x}(x)$  (keep in mind  $x \in A$ ). Lastly, if  $d_X(x, y) < \delta$  I claim  $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$ . Applying (\*) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(f(x), f(y)) &\leq d_Y(f(x), f(z)) + d_Y(f(z), f(y)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as needed. □



Alternate proof:

*Proof.* Let

$$f : X \rightarrow Y$$

be a map between metric spaces. If  $f$  is continuous and  $X$  is compact, then we show  $f$  is uniformly continuous. We say  $f$  is continuous in the  $\varepsilon - \delta$  at  $x \in X$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a  $\delta > 0$  such that if

$$d_X(x, y) < \delta,$$

then

$$d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon.$$

I claim that if  $f$  is  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \delta$  continuous, then  $f$  is  $\varepsilon - \frac{\delta}{2}$  continuous. To see this, note that for every  $x' \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x)$  and  $y \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x')$  we have that  $x', y \in B_{\delta}(x)$ . Thus we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(f(x'), f(y)) &\leq d_Y(f(x'), f(x)) + d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

And we have proven the claim. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in X$ . By  $\varepsilon - \delta$  continuity, there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f$  is  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{1}{n}$  continuous. Then by the claim,  $f$  is  $\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2n}$  continuous. Moreover, as  $X$  is compact, it can be covered by a finite number of these balls so let  $n_0$  be the max  $n$  value in the finite collection, then  $f$  is  $\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2n_0}$  continuous on every neighborhood of  $X$  and thus on all of  $X$ .  $\square$

[<back2top>](#)

## 23. Countable product of separable

Prove the countable product of separable is separable.

*Proof.* Let  $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a collection of separable metric spaces. Let  $D_n$  be the associated countable dense subset and fix  $x_n \in D_n$ . Then for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can define

$$\begin{aligned} E_m &= \{y \in D_n : y_n = x_n; \forall n \geq m\} \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq n < m} D_n \times \prod_{n \geq m} \{x_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Which is clearly countable and thus  $\bigcup_m E_m$  is countable as well. I claim that  $\bigcup_m E_m$  is dense in  $\prod_n X_n$ . Note by the definition of product topology we can find a basis element of the form

$$B = \prod_{1 \leq n < m} V_n \times \prod_{n \geq m} X_n.$$

Where  $V_n \subset X_n$  open. This is since for all but finitely many, the open sets are the whole space, in the product topology and thus

$$B \cap \bigcup_m E_m \neq \emptyset$$

forcing  $\bigcup_m E_m$  to be dense in  $\prod_n X_n$  as needed. □

[<back2top>](#)

## 24. Closed intervals are compact

Prove for  $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$  that  $[a, b]$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $[a, b]$  for  $a < b$  real numbers be an interval. We would like to show it is compact. Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an arbitrary open cover for  $[a, b]$  ( $A$  some arbitrary indexing set). That is,

$$[a, b] \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$$

Let

$$F := \{x \in [a, b] \mid \exists B \subset A, \text{ finite } \ni [a, x] \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha\}.$$

Note that  $F \neq \emptyset$ . This is because  $a \in F$  as the empty set is compact. We have that  $F$  is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  thus it inherits the least-upper-bound property. So we define

$$c := \sup F \in [a, b].$$

I claim that  $c = b$ . We know  $c > a$  because for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we there is a neighborhood  $U_i$  such that

$$[a, a + \varepsilon] \subset U_i$$

Thus  $x \geq a + \varepsilon$  and we know

$$a < c < b.$$

Now take  $\beta \in A$  such that  $c \in U_\beta$  and choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$a \leq c - \varepsilon < c < c + \varepsilon \leq b$$

and

$$[c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon] \subset U_\beta$$

Since  $c - \varepsilon$  is not an upper bound of  $F$  there is some  $c_0$  with

$$c - \varepsilon \leq c_0 \leq c$$

such that  $c_0 \in F$ . which means  $[a, c_0]$  has a finite sub-cover from our original cover. I.e.,

$$[a, c_0] \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha$$

which implies

$$[a, c + \varepsilon] \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha \cup U_\beta$$

Forcing  $c + \varepsilon \in F$  contradicting the fact that  $c$  is the upper bound as  $c < c + \varepsilon$ . Thus  $c = \sup F = b$ . Lastly, we show  $b \in F$ . To see this, note for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we know that there exists some  $\gamma \in A$  such that

$$[b - \varepsilon, b] \subset U_\gamma.$$

This gives us the existence of some  $c_0 \in [b - \varepsilon, b]$  such that  $c_0 \in F$ . Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b] &= [a, c_0] \cup [b - \varepsilon, b] \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha \cup U_\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $b \in F$  and we have found a finite sub-cover for  $[a, b]$  as needed. □

[<back2top>](#)

## Counters

1. Any space with the indiscrete topology is connected. With the discrete topology everything is disconnected.
2.  $\mathbb{R}_l$  is not connected. Take  $A = (-\infty, 0), B = [0, \infty)$  as separation components.
3.  $\mathbb{R}_K$  is connected hausdorff, but not path connected, not compact, not regulars
4.  $[0, 1]$  is no longer compact in the  $K$ -topology,  $K$  is an infinite subspace in closed unit with no limit point in  $[0, 1]$ .
5. In discrete topology,  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$
6.  $\overline{(0, 1)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_l$  is  $[0, 1)$ .
7. To show  $\overline{\bigcup A_i}$  is not always contained in  $\bigcup \overline{A_i}$  Consider  $A = \{r_i\}$  is an enumeration of the rationals, then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\bigcup A_i} &= \overline{\bigcup \{r_i\}} \\ &= \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \\ &= \mathbb{R} \\ &\not\subseteq \bigcup \overline{A_i} \\ &= \bigcup \{r_i\} \\ &= \mathbb{Q}.\end{aligned}$$

as needed.

8. To show  $\overline{\text{int}(A)}$  is not always contained in  $\text{int}(\overline{A})$  consider  $A = [0, 1)$ .
9. To show  $\text{int}(\overline{A})$  is not always contained in  $\overline{\text{int}(A)}$  consider  $A = \mathbb{Q}$ .
10. indiscrete everything connected, in the discrete not.
11. To show  $\overline{A \setminus B}$  is not contained in  $\overline{A} \setminus \overline{B}$  take  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
12.  $\mathbb{R}$  with cofinite topology is compact but not Hausdorff.
13. Note that the boundary of subset of a top space,  $\overline{A} \setminus \text{int}(A)$  does not contain all limit points of  $A$ . Take  $A = [0, 1]$  Then the boundary is  $\{0, 1\}$  but the set of limit points of  $A$  is all of  $A$ .
14.  $\overline{\text{int}(A)}$  does not contain all limit points of  $A$  take  $A = \mathbb{Q}$ .

[<back2top>](#)